

tically the whole New World - America, North and South - except Canada which is not a member. And you try, I believe, in that organization, to interpret a sort of Pan-American conscience, a Pan-American code of life. You want to protect America between yourselves in the first instance, before you appeal to higher authority. You haven't succeeded in every respect as yet. You may meet obstacles and you do very often. Yet, this is an interesting movement which in time to come might lead to a regional organization that might more or less replace the United Nations, which, in this task of guarding the peace, has failed.

I do not want to imply that I underestimate the value of the United Nations. They have done excellent work in other fields. They have done good work in limited fields, such as security in Palestine, in the Congo and elsewhere, where they do not withhold an aggressor so much, but where they place themselves between two hostile parties and prevent a local war from spreading. There they have done good work. But in the serious world clash that all of us fear, and where West and East might come to a headlong clash, in that field the United Nations are powerless and will have to be replaced with something else.

Now, America has given the lead, perhaps, by organizing this Pan-American organization. In the Communist World you will find the same phenomenon. In the Communist World today we have China, Russia, the satellite states in Europe, and one or two in Southeast Asia - one bloc, geographically speaking, no longer as monolithic as they would have it appear in the beginning, since we have the Chinese-Russian quarrel, but still united by one and the same philosophy and being steered from a