

time in which to achieve the scope of results we were seeking. Now, the five years - Fiscal '62 - 3 - 4 - 5 and 6 - at the end of which time the action should have been taken and realized that by 1967 the budget would reflect the \$4 billion objective which has been set, this has no relation to election years, politics or anything else; it's just the way the program was initially planned.

As to durability, this will be a function entirely of leadership and competence, and the application of effort not just at the top of the military departments and OSD, but throughout the warp and woof of the entire logistics structure, the some 2 1/2 million people who do these jobs. I think the most heartening thing to me in the past six months when I've been able to get out in the field, has been to see what's happening, for example, at the Air Force Air Materiel level. I've been to all the places now, save one or two.

They each have their goals for 1964 and 5. The goals have been subdivided within, down to division level. They get monthly reports from their division heads as they progress against their goals. And they've really caught fire with this effort. As long as that type of response can be sustained in all three departments, at installation level, I think this program has become a permanent way of life.

It's possible, if all the heat goes off, from the Secretary of Defense on down, that people would lose interest in it. We can't afford to let that happen. I just hope that succeeding generations of administration and